

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/742,321	YANG ET AL.
	Examiner Necholus Ogden	Art Unit 1751

All Participants:

Status of Application: non-final

(1) Necholus Ogden.

(3) _____.

(2) Howard Eissenberg.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 February 2005

Time: 11:00a.m.

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

all

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

Bhagwat et al or Schacknai et al

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner offered allowable subject matter, applicant's rep. declined and an office action on the merits was requested.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)